LATHAM & WATKINS

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

PAUL R. WATKINS (1899-1973)

DANA LATHAM (1898-1974)

CHICAGO OFFICE SEARS TOWER, SUITE 5800 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606 TELEPHONE (312) 876-7700 FAX (312) 993-9767

LONDON OFFICE ONE ANGEL COURT LONDON EC2R 7HJ ENGLAND TELEPHONE + 44-71-374 4444 FAX + 44-71-374 4460

LOS ANGELES OFFICE 633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 4000 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-2007 TELEPHONE (213) 485-1234 FAX (213) 891-8763

MOSCOW OFFICE 113/1 LENINSKY PROSPECT, SUITE C200 MOSCOW 117198 BUSSIA TELEPHONE + 7-503 956-5555 FAX + 7-503 956-5556

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W., SUITE 1300 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-2505

TELEPHONE (202) 637-2200

FAX (202) 637-2201;~ TLX 590775 ELN 62793269

o configuration

June 8, 1995

ONE NEWARK CENTER NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07101-3174 TELEPHONE (201) 639-1234 FAX (201) 639-7298

NEW YORK OFFICE 885 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1000 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-4802 TELEPHONE (212) 906-1200 FAX (212) 751-4864

ORANGE COUNTY OFFICE 650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626-1925 TELEPHONE (714) 540-1235 FAX (714) 755-8290

SAN DIEGO OFFICE 701 "B" STREET, SUITE 2100 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8197 TELEPHONE (619) 236-1234 FAX (619) 696-7419

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1900 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-2562 TELEPHONE (415) 391-0600 FAX (415) 395-8095

William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

CC Docket No. 92-297, RM-7872, RM-7722

IC Docket No. 94-31 Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Mr. Caton:

Representatives of Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc. ("Hughes") met this morning with David R. Siddall, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Ness, to discuss matters related to the Commission's pending proceedings in CC Docket No. 92-297 and IT Docket No. 94-31. The Hughes representatives were Edward J. Fitzpatrick of Hughes and the undersigned, counsel for Hughes. The enclosed materials formed the basis for the discussions.

An original and two copies of this letter are enclosed. Copies of this letter are being provided simultaneously to the Commission representative identified above.

Respectfully submitted,

John Þ. Jank

No. of Copies rec'd ListABCDE

Enclosures

Presentation to the Federal Communications Commission

28 GHz Solutions

Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc.

June 8, 1995



KA BAND IS THE NEXT AVAILABLE LOCATION FOR NEW SATELLITE SERVICES

- Access to the Ka band is essential for the delivery of interactive, wideband satellite services
 - other bands are congested
 - allows use of small (26 inch) dishes
 - provides sufficient bandwidth for tomorrow's spectrum intensive applications
- Telecommunications providers around the world are eager to utilize
 Ka band satellites to deploy broadband service
 - allows rapid build out of infrastructure
 - satellites provide distance insensitive service
 - facilitates development of GII



CURRENT DOMESTIC KA BAND PROCEEDING REQUIRES PROMPT RESOLUTION

- Hughes is committed to finding a solution to the current domestic impasse at Ka band
- Industry proposed domestic band split has broad support
 - LMDS (Texas Instruments)
 - Computer industry (Hewlett Packard)
 - GSO FSS (Hughes)
 - non-GSO FSS (Teledesic)
 - Spacecraft manufacturers and launch providers (Boeing and Lockheed Martin)



CURRENT DOMESTIC KA BAND PROCEEDING REQUIRES PROMPT RESOLUTION (cont.)

- Industry proposed domestic band split serves multiple interests
 - provides sufficient spectrum for all pending domestic applications: LMDS, MSS feeder links, non-GSO FSS and GSO FSS
 - facilitates development of broadband two-way LMDS service
 - implements conclusions of 28 GHz Neg Reg
 - non-GSO MSS and LMDS can share
 - GSO FSS and LMDS cannot share
 - MSS feeder links who will not share with LMDS can be accommodated on a reverse band basis in other bands

CURRENT DOMESTIC KA BAND PROCEEDING REQUIRES PROMPT RESOLUTION

- Commission's proposed band split hinges on GSO FSS and non-GSO MSS feeder link sharing
 - parties recognize that sharing is technically possible if non-GSO system implement certain operational techniques
 - non-GSO MSS proponents do not believe these sharing techniques are economically feasible
 - MSS feeder links who cannot share under these terms should be accommodated on a reverse band basis elsewhere
- Domestic licensing solution should not limit use of spectrum internationally

CONCLUSION

Commission should promptly commence domestic licensing at Ka band